

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,465	08/31/2001	Dennis G. Hall	P287-USa1	9422
7590 01/29/2004		EXAMINER		
Swabey Ogilvy Renault			LIPMAN, E	BERNARD
Suite 1600 1981 McGill College Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Montreal, QC H3A 2Y3			1713	<u> </u>
CANADA				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	09/943,465	HALL, DENNIS G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bernard Lipman	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No.	ovember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.	>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16-85</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-84 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-84 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 85</u> is/are rejected.						
·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provided in the firs since a specific reference was included in the firs since a specific reference was included in the firs since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific reference was included in the specific reference was included i	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(et sentence of the specification or	e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (S) (PTO-1449) Paper No(S) 8.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Serial No. 09/943,465

Art Unit 1713

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a
printed publication in this or a foreign country or in
public use or on sale in this country, more than one
year prior to the date of application for patent in the
United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Che et al., "Studies on Synthesis and Catalytic Activity of New Polymer Supported Chelated Titanate", Volume 6 No. 1.

Reference specifically teaches applicant's claimed polymers as can be seen at the top of page 2 wherein the chloromethylpolystyrene is used to react with the dialkyl hydroxyamine to produce the same structure as required by applicant.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention

Serial No. 09/943,465

Art Unit 1713

was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4-12 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Che et al., as above.

Claims are to polymers which are identical to those disclosed by Che et al. except, in claim 2, for the specific alkyl group pendant from the styrene entity, and, for the other claims, in the polymer substrate used. In the case of claim 2 and the different alkyl groups on the styrene polymer, the prior art teaches various alkyl chloride groups on styrene polymers and the Examiner takes Official Notice of the fact that these various alkyl chloride groups on styrene polymers are known in the prior The use of a homolog of the specific teaching of the reference would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art absent evidence of unexpected results commensurate in scope to the claims. Furthermore, applicant has stated in his response of November 3, 2003 that the species requirement is not proper because "the Examiner has failed to provide any evidence of how these species are patentably distinct. In applicant's view, they are not". Applicant's stipulation that the species mentioned in the election as to both the substrate polymers and the compound being produced, specifically the difference in the

Art Unit 1713

alkyl or benzyl group on the styrene polymer, further supports the position and is an admission to the position that the species are obvious one over the other. This is also clearly true with reference to claims 4-12 wherein the polymer substrate is different and applicant has stipulated that these are merely obvious variants one over the other. The claims are, therefore, properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as prima facie obvious from the teaching of the reference to Che et al.

-4-

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 7 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

BL:cdc January 29, 2004